

From: [Coltrain, Katrina](#)
To: [Hayes, Mark](#)
Cc: [Turner, Philip](#); [Cobb, Derrick](#); [Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com](#); [Buster, Patrick](#); [Bercher, Corey](#)
Subject: RE: Wilcox Sieving
Date: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:36:00 PM

Great.

As you have explained, all other sieving, if needed, will be done during the RI.

Thanks for all your work.

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 6
LA/OK/NM Section
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202
214-665-8143

From: Hayes, Mark
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:34 PM
To: Coltrain, Katrina
Cc: Turner, Philip; Cobb, Derrick; [Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com](#); Buster, Patrick; Bercher, Corey
Subject: Re: Wilcox Sieving

Katrina,

Samples for properties 20 and 17 will be sieved by Weston and sent to the lab at the end of the week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 1, 2015, at 12:00 PM, "Coltrain, Katrina" <coltrain.katrina@epa.gov> wrote:

Mark/Patrick, what is the status of the sieved samples that were to be analyzed for properties 20 and 17? One of these has children and the other is next door. These two properties are important from a child exposure scenario.

After talking with Mark and Patrick today, I was not aware that the decision to wait for the RI was already made. If the samples have not been disposed, then we need sieved data for 20 and 17.

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 6
LA/OK/NM Section
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202

214-665-8143

From: Hayes, Mark

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:53 AM

To: Turner, Philip

Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina; Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com; Buster, Patrick; Bercher, Corey; Perez, Myra; Flores, Raymond

Subject: RE: Wilcox Sieving

Katrina/Phil,

I recommend having the sieve analysis conducted during the RI. Areas that may have exceedances can be identified for sieving based on analytical results from our current sampling event.

From: Turner, Philip

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:04 AM

To: Hayes, Mark

Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina; Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com; Buster, Patrick; Bercher, Corey

Subject: RE: Wilcox Sieving

Mark,

As per our discussion: If we need to cut back on number of samples sieved, I would recommend focusing sieving on samples from residential properties, especially where there are still people living there. Sieving is not as important for other areas/samples.

Thanks!

Phil

From: Hayes, Mark

Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 3:57 PM

To: Turner, Philip

Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina; Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com; Buster, Patrick; Bercher, Corey

Subject: Re: Wilcox Sieving

Phil,

I believe 6 mo is right. We have around 120 samples to sieve. Less than 5% of the 120 were sieved, give or take (Derrick correct?) The process has been pretty slow, even while allowing to dry. The extra time could add on additional START cost. How critical is it to have all of the 120 samples sieved? Recommending to scale back the number to be sieved. Your thoughts?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 26, 2015, at 3:35 PM, "Turner, Philip" <Turner.Philip@epa.gov> wrote:

Are the samples wet or dry? It might work best if they are dried. We have a 6-month holding period, right?

Katrina is on leave this week.

Phil

From: Hayes, Mark
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2015 10:47 AM
To: Cobb, Derrick
Cc: Coltrain, Katrina; Turner, Philip; Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com; Buster, Patrick; Bercher, Corey
Subject: Re: Wilcox Sieving

Try the 2mm.

Katrina,

Your thoughts?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 25, 2015, at 9:20 AM, "Cobb, Derrick" <Derrick.Cobb@WestonSolutions.com> wrote:

Mark,
I have tried to sieve several of our samples and can't get any dirt to go through. The 250 micrometer sieve is extremely fine. I still have the 2 millimeter sieve. Please advise.
Thanks.

Derrick Cobb
Weston Solutions, Inc.
5599 San Felipe, Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 985-6621 direct
(832) 347-4180 cell
(713) 985-6703 fax
derrick.cobb@westonsolutions.com

From: Coltrain, Katrina [<mailto:coltrain.katrina@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2015 1:19 PM
To: Hayes, Mark; Turner, Philip
Cc: Cobb, Derrick
Subject: Wilcox Sieving

Mark/Derrick, I have noted in the attached email that my use of **2mm** is **incorrect**. We need to change the sieve size. Samples need to be sieved to obtain soil particles **less than 250 micrometer (µm) in diameter** prior to chemical analysis.

Please let me know if changing the size is a problem.

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 6
LA/OK/NM Section
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202
214-665-8143

From: Hayes, Mark
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2015 3:23 PM
To: Warren, Christy
Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina; Downham, Todd; Criner, Jeffrey J.
Subject: RE: Wilcox QASP

Christy,

Weston will be conducting the sieving in the field. Derrick, Katrina has requested that you use a sieve size of 2 mm. Also, ISM01.3 is the preferred method using ICP-MS for metals analyses. CRQLs can be used for all other analyses.

Thanks

From: Warren, Christy
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2015 1:11 PM
To: Hayes, Mark
Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina
Subject: RE: Wilcox QASP

Who is doing the sieving?

If it is being done in the field – I don't need to know – but you may need to verify your sample counts.
If you are wanting the lab to do – yes need to know and it needs to be separated on the request form. I don't know if the lab can do the sieving – I would need to know what size sieve is required and do some checking.

Most likely with the high number of samples being collected and me just now getting the "official" request with all specifics – potentially all or some of the samples will need to be scheduled through the CLP Program. I do not believe that sieving is included in the routine SOW – it is possible that they may be able to get a modified analysis for sieving – however I do not believe there is enough time to get that done and have labs assigned by May 11th.

I have talked with Derrick and requested that section 5a of the form be completed with the contaminants of concern and the required reporting limits for the project.

Christy Warren
US EPA Region 6 Lab
Sample Control Center
10625 Fallstone Road
Houston, Texas 77099
Office: 281-983-2137
Cell: 713-805-7157

This e-mail may be privileged and/or confidential. It is intended for the named recipient(s) only. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than an intended recipient is unauthorized. If you received this e-mail in error, please advise me (by return e-mail or otherwise) immediately and do not duplicate it or disclose its contents to anyone.

From: Hayes, Mark
Sent: Friday, May 01, 2015 10:33 AM
To: Warren, Christy

Cc: Cobb, Derrick; Coltrain, Katrina

Subject: Re: Wilcox QASP

Christy,

Do we need to specify the number of sieved metals analysis?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 1, 2015, at 9:21 AM, "Coltrain, Katrina"

<coltrain.katrina@epa.gov> wrote:

Mark/Derrick, I was just thinking about the lab form. Did we need to specify how many sieved metals analysis are needed?

The surface soils are for both total and sieved.

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 6
LA/OK/NM Section
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202
214-665-8143

From: Coltrain, Katrina

Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 3:13 PM

To: Hayes, Mark; Downham, Todd

Cc: Cobb, Derrick

Subject: RE: Wilcox QASP

Mark, I have read the provided draft documents and have a few questions. I did not see the information as listed below, included in the document.

1. The document does not describe the process for pushing and logging cores deeper than 2 feet. The grid maps identify the grid locations where this will happen, but the process is not described in the text. In addition, based on our conversations out in the field, the cores will be pushed to 4 feet regardless with the 24-48in core

section being logged but not sampled.

2. As part of the deeper push investigation, we discussed a process for stepping-out to try and find the boundary of the waste if encountered at depth. This was not identified in the document.
3. The document does not describe the process for filling the drill holes. During our site visit, we discussed several options for filling holes depending on the depth of the hole. Essentially, the hole would be filled with cuttings from the core, bentonite, and then topped with sand/topsoil to ground surface.
4. The document states that 5-point composite samples will be taken for the analyses. The document does not describe the location for the VOC sample. I believe we determined that the center-point composite location would be the location for the VOC sample.
5. The SOPs are not included. What SOPs are being referenced in Section 4?

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain
Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 6
LA/OK/NM Section
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202
214-665-8143

From: Hayes, Mark
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 10:45 AM
To: Coltrain, Katrina; Downham, Todd
Cc: Cobb, Derrick
Subject: Wilcox QASP

Fyi

Mark Hayes

On-Scene Coordinator

US Environmental Protection Agency Region 6

Superfund Division (6SF-PR)

1445 Ross Ave. Ste 1200

Dallas, TX 75202

O: 214-665-2705

M: 214-232-7134

F: 214-665-7447

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.